

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION WASHINGTON D.C. 20463

SEP - 6 2011

<u>CERTIFIED MAIL</u> RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Russ Carnahan 7000 Chippewa Street Suite 100 St. Louis, MO 63119

RE:

MUR 6425

Ed Martin;

Ed Martin for Congress

and Randall J. McArthur, in his official capacity as treasurer; SaveAB.com for America

Dear Mr. Carnahan:

On August 30, 2011, the Federal Election Commission reviewed the allegations in your complaint dated November 8, 2010, and found that on the basis of the information provided in your complaint, and information provided by the respondents, there is no reason to believe Ed Martin, Ed Martin for Congress and Randall J. McArthur, in his official capacity as treasurer, and SaveAB.com for America, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a). Also on this date, the Commission dismissed any violations of 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a). In addition, the Commission dismissed any violation of 2 U.S.C. §§ 434 and 441d by Ed Martin for Congress and Randall J. McArthur, in his official capacity as treasurer, and sent a cautionary letter. Accordingly, on August 30, 2011, the Commission closed the file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed, Reg. 70426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66132 (Dec. 14, 2009). The Factual and Legal Analyses, which more fully explain the Commission's findings, are enclosed.

Ÿ~,

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8).

Sincerely,

P. Christopher Hughey Acting General Counsel

BY: Mark D. Shonkwiler
Assistant General Counsel

Enclosures
Factual and Legal Analyses

2	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION							
3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS							
4 5 6 7 8 9	RESPONDENT: Ed Martin MUR: 6425 Ed Martin for Congress and Randall J. McArthur, in his official capacity as treasurer							
10	I. <u>GENERATION OF MATTER</u>							
11	This matter was generated by a complaint filed by Russ Carnahan. See							
12	2 U.S.C. § 437(g)(a)(1).							
13	II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS							
14	A. Factual Background							
15 .	Ed Martin was the Republican nominee for the U.S. House of Representatives from							
16	Missouri's Third Congressional District for the 2010 election cycle. Mr. Martin filed his							
17	Statement of Candidacy, designating Ed Martin for Congress as his principal campaign							
18	committee, on July 21, 2009.							
19	During the summer of 2008, prior to his 2010 candidacy, Mr. Martin started a grassroots							
20	movement to oppose the impending sale of Anheuser-Busch Companies, Inc. to a foreign							
21	company. Various individuals within the movement used their own funds to buy a domain name							
22	and set up a website (www.SaveAB.com), which was used to gather signatures for an on-line							
23	petition and create a list of supporters' e-mail addresses. Response at 1. On July 3, 2008, in							
24	response to the movement's rapid growth and apparent need for financial structure in terms of							
25	future activities, Mr. Martin founded a non-profit corporation, SaveAB.com for America							

Although the response identifies Mike Smith of Miken Technologies as the individual who set up and continually maintained the website, it does not identify the individual who purchased the domain name. A printout of domain name search results, attached to the complaint as Exhibit C, shows that www.saveab.com was registered to Scott Leiendecker as of January 14, 2010.

19

20

21 22

23

MUR 6425 (Ed Martin for Congress) Factual & Legal Analysis Page 2

- 1 ("Corporation"). Response at 2. However, about two weeks later, on July 14, 2008, it was
- 2 announced that Anheuser-Busch would be sold to the foreign company. The sale became final in
- November 2008. Although the movement was active during the summer of 2008, it had no
- 4 activity following the July 2008 announcement of the sale. See May 31, 2011, Response
- 5 Clarification ("Clarification") at 2. The Corporation dissolved on March 31, 2009,² and the
- 6 www.SaveAB.com website was deactivated. Complaint Bxhibits B and D; Response
- 7 Attachment 1.

8 During the year after the sale of Anheuser-Busch, the former leaders of the SaveAB.com

9 movement continued to receive requests for comments and inquiries about leading possible

boycotts of, or protests against, the foreign-owned Anheuser-Busch. Clarification at 1.

11 According to Mr. Martin, the number of these inquiries began to increase as the one-year

anniversary of the Anheuser-Busch sale approached in late 2009. Id. In December 2009,

13 Mr. Martin, in conjunction with other individuals associated with the SaveAB.com movement,

reactivated the website. Complaint Exhibit B; Response at 2; Clarification at 1, 2. When the

15 website went live again, it featured a single letter lamenting the sale of Anheuser-Busch,

thanking lausinesses who supported the movement, and informing supporters of Mr. Martin's

candidacy. Notwithstanding the suggestion in the Resnome and Christian about the med to

respond to inquiries about SaveAB.com's position on purported plans to engage in a boycott or

protest, the letter on the website makes no mention of these topics. The portion of the letter

regarding Mr. Martin's congressional campaign stated:

Also, one of the original Saveab.com founders, Ed Martin, has decided to take this fighting spirit to Campress. He is consing for Congress in the Third Congressional District right here in Missouri – this district includes the old

The Articles of Dissolution list the dissolution date as December 17, 2008; however, Mr. Martin did not file the Articles of Dissolution and Termination with the Missouri Secretary of State until March 31, 2009.

1 2 3	headquarters of A-B down on Pestalozzi Street. (Third Congressional District consists of Bt. Louis City, St. Louis County, Jefferson County and St. Genevieve)[.]
4 5 6 7	Ed Martin is running, as he said, to "fight for jobs, for the future and for the American dream — which is all in jeopædy if we don't stop shipping our jobs to Mexico and India and running up our debt to China!"
8 9 10	If you are interested in finding out more, or joining Ed Martin in this endeavor, visit his website TODAY:
11	The letter then linked to a page on the Committee's website titled, "Welcome SaveAB.com
12	Friends." This page invited visitors to sign up for e-mail updates, voluntuer to help, and
13	"consider a financial contribution." Complaint Exhibit E. The letter was also e-mailed, along
14	with the kink to the Committee's website, from a www.SaveAB.com e-mail account to
15	SaveAB.com supporters. Although the letter claims to be reaching 85,000 supporters, both the
16	complaint and various news articles report that the e-mail was sent to 40,000 supporters. See,
17	e.g., Complaint Exhibit B.
18	Complainant alleges that the December 2009 www.SaveAB.com website and e-mail
19	constitute prohibited corporate contributions in the form of SaveAB.com for America's corporate
20	name, logo, website, and mailing list, in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a). Complainant further
21	alleges that Mr. Martin and the Committee failed to include proper disclaimers on the website
22	and e-mail, in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a).
23	Mr. Martin, on behalf of all of the respondents, expressly denies the allegations.
24	Mr. Martin asserts that the short-lived corporation never held any assets, made any purchases, or
25	conducted any organizational meetings. Response at 2; Clarification at 1. Rather, the activity of
26	the SaveAB.com movement was paid for out-of-pocket by the individuals associated with it, and
27	upon dissolution, "anything that the participants created or gathered during the grassroots effort -
28	whether information, photographs, placard [sic], shirts, etc was kept by each person

16

MUR 6425 (Ed Martin for Congress) Factual & Legal Analysis Page 4

- individually." Clarification at 1. Specifically, the website and the data it collected were
- 2 continually maintained by Mike Smith, the same individual who set up the website at the
- 3 beginning of the movement, much as the telephone system was continually maintained by
- 4 Mr. Martin. Response at 1; Clarification at 1. As the Corporation held no assets, it did not have
- 5 any assets to distribute when it dissolved. Response at 2.
- 6 Mr. Martin also asserts that the decision to create the December 2009 letter was primarily
- 7 his, and not that of any legal patity. Clarification at 1-2. Although he does not recall other
- 8 persons with whom he discussed the decision, Mr. Martin "feel[s] certain" that he spoke with
- 9 Mr. Smith because "[he] was the operator of the website and e-mail system." Clarification at 1.
- 10 He further explains that the letter was in response to multiple requests for interviews and
- statements on the one-year anniversary of the Anheuser-Busch sale, and was meant to thank
- supporters and "end the discussion and questions" about the SaveAB.com effort. Id. There is no
- indication from the response that any marginal costs were incurred or funds disbursed to place
- the letter on the SaveAB.com website or to send the accompanying e-mail.

B. Legal Analysis

1. <u>Alleged Corporate Contributions</u>

- A contribution is any sift, subscription, loan, advance, or anything of value made by any
- 18 person for the purpose of influencing any election for federal office. 2 U.S.C. § 431(8)(a)(1).
- 19 Commission regulations define "anything of value" to include in-kind contributions: the
- 20 provision of goods or services without charge or at a charge that is less than the usual and normal
- 21 charge. 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(d)(1).
- The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), prohibits
- 23 corporations from making contributions from their general treasury funds in connection with any

election of any candidate for federal office. 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a). A candidate, political

2 committee, or other person is prohibited from knowingly accepting or receiving any corporate

contribution. Id.

The assets that allegedly constitute the in-kind corporate contribution – the SaveAB.com name and logo, domain name, and e-mail list – were developed while SaveAB.com was a grassroots movement, before it incorporated. Mr. Martin explains, for example, that two individuals bought a domain name and set up a website at the time the movement was founded. Furtheranore, it appears that the Corporation did nothing to take control of those assets in the two weeks it was operational: Mr. Martin specifically asserts that the Corporation never held any assets and therefore had no assets to distribute upon dissolution. Rather, these items were continually maintained by the individuals who created them. Moreover, the Corporation officially dissolved four months before Mr. Martin became a candidate and eight months before the decision to send an e-mail to the movement's supporters. That is, the Corporation did not exist at any time that the assets could have been transferred to Mr. Martin in his capacity as an agent of the Committee. Accordingly, the Commission found no reason to believe that Ed Martin or Ed Martin for Congress violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a).

2. Potontial Excassive Contribution

The provision of the assets could constitute an in-kind contribution to the Committee.

And if the amount of any contribution exceeded \$2,400, and was made by someone other than Mr. Martin, it could violate the applicable contribution limit. See 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(1)(A).

However, it is not clear which person or persons owned the assets at the time of the December 2009 communication, and thus would have made the contribution. Individual members associated with the SaveAB.com movement set up and continually maintained the

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MUR 6425 (Ed Martin for Congress)
Factual & Legal Analysis
Page 6

1 assets using their own funds; for example, Mr. Smith operated the website and Mr. Martin

2 provided the telephone system. It appears that these assets may have been available to any of the

3 founders of the movement, and were not necessarily possessed by one individual. Accordingly,

Mr. Martin, who as the candidate was not subject to the \$2,400 contribution limit, may have had

a valid claim to the use of the website and mailing list.

Moreover, the value of these assets has not been determined. The Commission has, in prior instances, considered corporate names and trademarks and cancil lists to be things of value. See, e.g., MUR 6322 (Sowers for Congress); MURs 5876 (Bawman for Congress) and 6127 (Obama for America). Although a widely-recognized trademarked corporate name and logo may have significant value, see, e.g., MUR 4340 (Tweezerman), the value of a short-lived organization's un-trademarked name, logo and domain name is less clear. With respect to email lists generally, the Commission has determined the value of such lists by considering factors such as: criteria used to develop the list, the age of the list, and whether the list has been maintained/updated since its creation. See, e.g., MURs 5876 (Bowman for Congress) and 6127 (Obama for America). Given the uncertainty of the ownership of these assets, the additional effort required to ascertain the value of these assets, and considering that the amount of any potential contribution is relatively small, further use of Commission resources for an investigation is not warranted. Anoordingly, the Commission dismisset any pessible violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a), The Commission also dismissed any possible violation of 2 U.S.C. § 434 for the Committee's failure to report the receipt of any in-kind contribution, and sent a cautionary letter.

21

3. Alleged Disclaimer Violations

2 The Act, as implemented through Commission regulations, requires that e-mail of more 3 than 500 substantially similar communications include a disclaimer when sent by a political 4 committee. 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a); 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a)(1). Additionally, disclaimers must be 5 included on all internet websites of political committees that are available to the general public. Id. If the communication is authorized by the candidate, his committee, or the agent of either, 6 7 but is paid for by any other purson, the disclaimer must clearly state that the communication is 8 paid for by such other person and anthorized by such condidate, committee, or agent. 9 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(2); 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(b)(2). 10 The December 2009 letter sent under the SaveAB.com logo was initiated and created 11 primarily by Mr. Martin, and appears to have been posted on the website and e-mailed to 40,000 12 recipients. Mr. Martin used Mr. Smith's access to and control over the e-mail list to disseminate 13 the e-mail. Mr. Martin, who is an agent of the Committee, authorized the e-mail and the use of the Committee's logo in the e-mails with the link to the Committee's website. It appears, 14 15 however, that Mr. Smith paid whatever costs were associated with the dissemination of the e-16 mail. Accordingly, the e-mail should have included a disclaimer stating that it was paid for by 17 Mr. Smith and authorized by Ed Martin for Congress. 18 Similarly, Mr. Martin primarily decided to peartivate the <u>www.SaveAB.com</u> website in 19 December 2009. Given that the letter referenced Mr. Martin's federal candidacy, he can be viewed as acting as an agent of the Committee. At that time, however, the website was operated 20 21 by Mr. Smith, and the domain name was owned by Mr. Leiendecker. Accordingly, the website 22 should have included a disclaimer stating that the communication was paid for by Mr. Smith and 23 Mr. Leiendecker and authorized by Ed Martin for Congress.

1 It thus appears that Ed Martin for Congress violated 2 U.S.C. § 441d by failing to include

2 appropriate disclaimers on the website and e-mail, over which Mr. Martin, as its agent, had some

3 measure of control. However, while the communications did not contain the language required

by the statute, they did include some identifying information. The communications included the

5 Committee's logo, which clearly stated "Ed Martin for Congress," as the link to the campaign's

6 website. Given these circumstances, the Commission dismissed the violations of 2 U.S.C.

7 § 441d, and sent a cautionary letter.

1 FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Ż FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS 3 4 5 **RESPONDENT:** SaveAB.com for America MUR: 6425 6 7 I. **GENERATION OF MATTER** 8 This matter was generated by a complaint filed by Russ Carnahan. See 9 2 U.S.C. § 437(g)(a)(1). 10 II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS 11 **Factual Background** 12 Ed Martin was the Republican nominee for the U.S. House of Representatives from 13 Missouri's Third Congressional District for the 2010 election cycle. Mr. Martin filed his 14 Statement of Candidacy, designating Ed Martin for Congress as his principal campaign 15 committee, on July 21, 2009. 16 During the summer of 2008, prior to his 2010 candidacy, Mr. Martin started a grassroots 17 movement to oppose the impending sale of Anheuser-Busch Companies, Inc. to a foreign 18 company. Various individuals within the movement used their own funds to buy a domain name 19 and set up a website (www.SaveAB.com), which was used to gather signatures for an on-line 20 petition and create a list of supporters' e-mail addresses. Response at 1. On July 3, 2008, in 21 response to the movement's rapid growth and apparent need for financial structure in terms of 22 future activities, Mr. Martin founded a non-profit corporation, SaveAB.com for America 23 ("Corporation"). Response at 2. However, about two weeks later, on July 14, 2008, it was 24 announced that Anheuser-Busch would be sold to the foreign company. The sale became final in 25 November 2008. Although the movement was active during the summer of 2008, it had no

activity following the July 2008 announcement of the sale. See May 31, 2011, Response

ر ایر است.

1	Clarification ("Clarification"	at 2	The Con	noration (dissolved	on Marc	h 31.	2009	l and th
Ŧ			1 at 2.	THE COL	potauon i	712201 A CA	OIT IAITE	11 J L,	, ZVV7,	and m

- 2 www.SaveAB.com website was deactivated. Complaint Exhibits B and D; Response
- 3 Attachment 1.
- 4 During the year after the sale of Anheuser-Busch, the former leaders of the SaveAB.com
- 5 movement continued to receive requests for comments and inquiries about leading possible
- 6 boycotts of, or protests against, the foreign-owned Anheuser-Busch. Clarification at 1.
- 7 According to Mr. Martin, the member of these inquiries began to increase as the one-year
- 8 anniversary of the Anhsuser-Busch sale approached in late 2009. Id. In December 2009,
- 9 Mr. Martin, in conjunction with other individuals associated with the SaveAB.com movement,
- reactivated the website. Complaint Exhibit B; Response at 2; Clarification at 1, 2. When the
- 11 website went live again, it featured a single letter lamenting the sale of Anheuser-Busch,
- thanking businesses who supported the movement, and informing supporters of Mr. Martin's
- 13 candidacy. Notwithstanding the suggestion in the Response and Clarification about the need to
- 14 respond to inquiries about SaveAB.com's position on purported plans to engage in a boycott or
- 15 protest, the letter on the website makes no mention of these topics. The portion of the letter
- 16 regarding Mr. Martin's congressional campaign stated:

Also, one of the original Savean.com founders. Etl Martin, has decided to take this fighting spirit to Congress. He is running for Congress in the Third Congressional District right here in Missouri — this district includes the old headquarters of A-B down on Pestalozzi Street. (Third Congressional District consists of St. Louis City, St. Louis County, Jefferson County and St. Genevieve)[.]

22 23 24

25

17

18

19

20

21

Ed Martin is running, as he said, to "fight for jobs, for the future and for the American dream — which is all in jeopardy if we don't stop shipping our jobs to Mexico and India and running up our debt to China!"

26 27

The Articles of Dissolution list the dissolution date as December 17, 2008; however, Fir. Martin did not file the Articles of Dissolution and Termination with the Missouri Sperstary of State antil March 31, 2009.

If you are interested in finding out more, or joining Ed Martin in this endeavor, 1 2 visit his website TODAY: The letter then linked to a page on the Committee's website titled, "Welcome SaveAB.com 3 Friends." This page invited visitors to sign up for e-mail updates, volunteer to help, and 4 5 "consider a financial contribution." Complaint Exhibit E. The letter was also e-mailed, along 6 with the link to the Committee's website, from a www.SaveAB.com e-mail account to 7 SaveAB.com supporters. Although the letter claims to be reaching 85,000 supporters, both the 8 complaint and various newe artinles report that the e-mail was sent to 40,000 supporters. See, 9 e.g., Complaint Exhibit B. 10 Complainant alleges that the December 2009 www.SaveAB.com website and e-mail 11 constitute prohibited corporate contributions in the form of SaveAB.com for America's corporate 12 name, logo, website, and mailing list, in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a). 13 Mr. Martin, on behalf of all of the respondents, expressly denies the allegations. Mr. 14 Martin asserts that the short-lived corporation never held any assets, made any purchases, or 15 conducted any organizational meetings. Response at 2; Clarification at 1. Rather, the activity of the SaveAB.com movement was paid for out-of-pocket by the indivituals associated with it, and, 16 upon dissolution, "anything that the participants created or gathered during the grassroots effort -17 whether infinitation, photographs, placard [sic], shirts, etc. - . . . was kept by such person 18 19 individually." Clarification at 1. Specifically, the website and the data it collected were continually maintained by Mike Smith, the same individual who set up the website at the 20 beginning of the movement, much as the telephone system was continually maintained by 21 22 Mr. Martin. Response at 1; Clarification at 1. As the Corporation held no assets, it did not have

any assets to distribute when it dissolved. Response at 2. Mr. Martin also asserts that the

MUR 6425 (SaveAB.com for America) Factual & Legal Analysis Page 4

- decision to create the December 2009 letter was primarily his, and not that of any legal entity.
- 2 Clarification at 1-2.

3

B. Legal Analysis

- A contribution is any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or anything of value made by any
- 5 person for the purpose of influencing any election for federal office. 2 U.S.C. § 431(8)(a)(1).
- 6 Commission regulations define "anything of value" to include in-kind contributions: the
- 7 provision of guads or servings without charge or at a charge that is less than the usual and normal
- 8 charge. 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(d)(1).
- 9 The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, prohibits corporations from
- 10 making contributions from their general treasury funds in connection with any election of any
- candidate for federal office. 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a). A candidate, political committee, or other
- 12 person is prohibited from knowingly accepting or receiving any corporate contribution. *Id.*
- 13 The assets that allegedly constitute the in-kind corporate contribution the SaveAB.com
- 14 name and logo, domain name, and e-mail list were developed while SaveAB.com was a
- 15 grassroots movement, before it incorporated. Mr. Martin explains, for example, that two
- 16 individuals bought a domain name and set up a website at the time fire movement was founded.
- 17 Furthermore, it appears that the Corpension did nothing to take control of these areats in the two
- 18 weeks it was operational: Mr. Martin specifically asserts that the Corporation never held any
- 19 assets and therefore had no assets to distribute upon dissolution. Rather, these items were
- 20 continually maintained by the individuals who created them. Moreover, the Corporation
- 21 officially dissolved four months before Mr. Martin became a candidate and eight months before
- 22 the decision to send an e-mail to the movement's supporters. That is, the Corporation did not
- exist at any time that the assets could have been transferred to Mr. Martin in his capacity as an

MUR 6425 (SaveAB.com for America) Factual & Legal Analysis Page 5

- 1 agent of the Committee. Accordingly, the Commission found no reason to believe that
- 2 SaveAB.com for America violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a).